Journal of Law and Legal Research Development
ISSN: 3048-5045

The Evolution of Digital Tax Nexus: A Doctrinal and Jurisprudential Examination of Significant Economic Presence (SEP) Under Indian Income Tax

Asfar Ibrahim J*

Student, LL.M. (Taxation Law), School of Law, Joy University, Vadakankulam, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India

Research Article History

Received: 01-Jul-2026
Revised: 10-Jul-2026
Accepted: 12-Jul-2026
Published: 14-Jul-2026

Keywords

Digital Economy
Digital Tax Nexus
Digital Taxation
Indian Income Tax Law
International Taxation
OECD BEPS
Permanent Establishment
Significant Economic Presence (SEP)

Abstract

The growth of the digital economy has led to the transformation of the process of creating value by multinational corporations in jurisdictions where no physical presence exists. International taxation rules such as the concept of Permanent Establishment have failed to keep pace with the taxation needs of the contemporary business environment and have thus proved to be inadequate. In an attempt to overcome these issues, India has come up with a new concept called Significant Economic Presence (SEP) to create a tax liability nexus by virtue of economic activity and not physical presence. The concept of SEP has been brought into force via the Finance Act, 2018 and the Income-tax Act. The following paper is an analysis of the legal evolution of digital tax nexus via SEP within the realm of Indian income tax law. It will examine the statutory provisions for SEP, the relation between the concepts of SEP and international tax laws, as well as the relation between the concept of SEP, DTAAs, OECD Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project and the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on Digital Taxation. Although the SEP is a more progressive concept than the source-based system of taxation, which takes into account the value-based digital taxation approach, it needs a high level of coordination and harmonization with regard to legislative frameworks. The article provides suggestions for reforming the digital taxation legislation in India while ensuring legal certainty and sustainable fiscal outcomes in the digital age.

Corresponding Author:
Asfar Ibrahim J *
Student, LL.M. (Taxation Law), School of Law, Joy University, Vadakankulam, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India
asfarhana1011@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The advent of the digital economy revolutionized the system of international taxation. Conventional tax laws were constructed on the assumption that the source state had a taxing right only over profits of businesses operating there with a substantial presence. However, the advent of digital technology, cloud services, ecommerce, online advertising and other types of businesses have made it possible for multinational companies to earn considerable amounts of income in a state in the absence of any permanent establishment there. Acknowledging these problems, India has been one of the early adopters in restructuring its domestic tax regime through incorporation of the principle of Significant Economic Presence (SEP) under the Finance Act of 2018. Through the provision of SEP, an attempt is being made to provide a nexus of taxation based on sustainable economic activity within the Indian market instead of physical presence. This provision expands the source rule due to the emergence of the digital economy. The principle of SEP is also consistent with the global efforts initiated by the OECD and G20 through the BEPS project, which recognizes that in today's digital economy, value generation depends upon participation of the users, digital interaction, and intangible assets as against tangible business structure. However, the provision of SEP gives rise to many legal and jurisprudential problems relating to tax treaty implications, constitutional parameters, jurisdictional limits, and administrative feasibility. In light of the foregoing, this paper seeks to conduct a doctrinal and jurisprudential analysis of the development of digital tax nexus based on Significant Economic Presence as per the provisions of the Indian Income Tax Act. This study critically analyses the legislative and judicial position along with international development and practical aspects of SEP with a view to assess its efficiency in providing equitable taxation for digital firms. The paper further focuses on the issues concerning the harmonization of the Indian domestic tax law with international tax standards and offers recommendations for reforming the tax law.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The taxability of the digital economy has come to become one of the foremost topics in contemporary international tax literature. In accordance with traditional international tax law which is based on the concept of Permanent Establishment (PE), the foreign firm needs to establish physical presence before its business income becomes taxable in the source country. However, the digitization of business activities has highlighted the deficiencies of the traditional scheme since multinational enterprises have earned considerable revenues without maintaining any physical presence. OECD BEPS Action Plan 1 acknowledged the issues related to digitalization and the shortcomings associated with traditional nexus rules for taxing digital businesses. Thereafter, academic and policy literature focused on the requirement of an alternative nexus standard that takes into account economic participation and value creation in the digital economy. Moreover, the work done by the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on reallocation of taxing rights via Pillar One and Pillar Two has had an impact on domestic tax laws in several countries. Legal scholars in India have widely discussed the proactive steps taken in India to tax the digital economy, such as the Equalization Levy and the Significant Economic Presence provisions in the Income-tax Act. Existing researches accept that SEP is a major paradigm shift from physical presence to economic presence as the test for creating tax jurisdiction. However, there are differing views on whether it is compatible with DTAAs, enforceability, and international tax principles. Judicial pronouncements regarding tax nexus, source basis of taxation, and tax treaty interpretation have equally played a role in shaping the emerging jurisprudence on this issue. Whereas the Indian courts have always adopted strict construction of tax laws, there is an increasing importance for flexibility in the development of legal norms as illustrated by international judicial developments. Nonetheless, little has been done in exploring the doctrinal underpinnings of Significant Economic Presence along with its jurisprudential and operational aspects under the Indian Income Tax Act. Therefore, this research intends to fill this gap by undertaking a critical review of Significant Economic Presence from a statutory, constitutional, and international tax perspective with the purpose of assessing whether the concept sufficiently deals with issues of digital taxation while at the same time ensuring legal certainty, treaty compatibility, and fair allocation of taxing rights.

3. RATIONALE OF THE STUDY

The increasing digitalization of the world's business has radically changed the way in which multinational companies do their business and earn money in different jurisdictions. The existing principles of international taxation, especially the principle of Permanent Establishment (PE), are mostly dependent on physical presence and become outdated in dealing with the issue of taxation of digital companies that earn profits from a market even in absence of physical presence. This leads to many problems connected with tax avoidance, transfer of profits, and reduction of the tax base, especially in developing countries like India. To overcome these problems, India introduced the notion of Significant Economic Presence (SEP) as an alternative form of nexus under the Income-tax Act. Significant Economic Presence is a legislative novelty as economic rather than physical presence became the criterion of taxation. However, this legislative measure brings about many questions concerning its interpretation, constitutionality, treaty relations, administrative enforcement, and international cooperation. While significant research material is available on digital taxation, Equalisation Levy, and the various BEPS projects carried out by OECD, very little doctrinal research has been done so far on the jurisprudential aspects of the SEP doctrine under the Indian income tax law. The research that has been conducted has mainly covered the policy aspects with comparatively fewer discussions on the interrelationship between SEP, domestic laws, judicial doctrines, and international obligations of tax. This research has been undertaken in order to critically analyse the development of the digital tax nexus under the doctrine of Significant Economic Presence. The research is intended to determine whether the current legal regime meets the challenges of the digital economy model in terms of legal certainty, protection of taxpayer rights, and fair allocation of taxing power.

4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The present research is based on well-known theoretical constructs of international taxation, source taxation, economic allegiance, and taxation of digital economy. The taxation of business income under the traditional approach was based on the doctrine of Permanent Establishment (PE). According to this doctrine, a resident company could be taxed only if a non-resident enterprise maintained a permanent establishment within the territory of the source State. Even though this principle became the key element of international tax law, it has lost its relevance in the era of digitization when profits are derived through intangible activities, online communication, and involvement of users in the process. Significant Economic Presence (SEP) represents a breakthrough from the physical to economic nexus. SEP is based on the notion that a business entity should be subject to taxation because of its sustained economic activities in a market jurisdiction regardless of any physical presence. The doctrine of economic allegiance is one of the key theoretical constructs that support this idea. Also, the study makes use of the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project with specific reference to Action Plan 1 that recognizes the challenges faced by the tax system in relation to the digitization of the economy. The need for developing nexus rules in line with BEPS project highlights the need for modern nexus rules that would be able to address issues of artificial profit shifting and ensure proper allocation of taxing rights. Introduction of the Significant Economic Presence in India is an example of legislative development that is consistent with the international standards of tax law. Moreover, the concepts of tax neutrality, equity, legal certainty, efficiency and administrability, that altogether constitute the basic principles of taxation system, are used in the study to analyze whether the SEP approach achieves proper balance between the interests of tax administration, taxpayer and the international tax system without violating the constitutional principles and treaty obligations. Therefore, the theoretical framework allows for the doctrinal analysis of the development of digital tax nexus and evaluation of the effectiveness of Significant Economic Presence as a tool of taxation of digital business activities in India.

5. METHODOLOGY

This study uses a doctrinal approach to legal research. Doctrinal research mainly involves a detailed analysis of laws and other legal material including statutes, case laws, international tax instruments, and academic literature concerning digital taxation and Significant Economic Presence (SEP). This type of research is qualitative and analytical in nature with an objective of critically examining the development of digital tax nexus under the Income Tax Law of India. The study focuses on analyzing relevant provisions contained in the Income-tax Act, 1961, Income-tax Act, 2025, and amendments to those acts contained in the Finance Acts along with relevant rules, notifications and circulars issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT). It also examines constitutional provisions, Double Taxation Avoidance Agreements (DTAAs) and internationally accepted standards established by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), especially BEPS project of the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on digital taxation. Further, in order to make a complete analysis of the subject matter, the research also involves the critical examination of decisions rendered by the Supreme Court of India, High Courts, foreign courts wherever appropriate, and scholarly analysis in books, peer-reviewed articles, research reports and policy documents. A comparative method is used when necessary to assess the significant economic presence model of India from the perspective of evolving international tax laws and global practices. Data collection for the research will be analyzed through doctrinal, analytical and comparative approaches to examine the legal effectiveness, constitutionality, workability and policy implications of the significant economic presence model. The research will be limited to the legal and jurisprudential aspect of the digital tax nexus of India Income Tax Law only.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Tax Nexus Evolution in the Digital Economy

Tax nexus is the concept that helps to establish the connection necessary to enable the jurisdiction to have rights to tax an enterprise. The PE approach to international taxation stipulated that a source state had the right to impose taxes on the income of a foreign business only when the enterprise had either a fixed place of business or any other type of presence in the jurisdiction. This approach, which was incorporated in all DTAAs and the OECD Model Tax Convention, worked well in an economy where intangible assets were not predominant and commercial activity was carried out traditionally. The development of the digital economy changed the essence of commercial activity and made it difficult to apply the traditional tax nexus approach. Enterprises in the digital age earn profits through online advertisement, cloud computing, e-commerce platform, digital streaming services, social media, and so forth without creating any physical presence in the jurisdiction of the source country. Hence, the use of the traditional tax nexus approach is no longer adequate since it does not reflect the economic reality of digital businesses. These emerging issues have resulted in debates internationally on the appropriateness of the current taxing laws. According to OECD Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Action Plan 1, digitalization had made it difficult to distinguish between the residence and source approaches of taxation and called for developing other nexus approaches that could tackle the issues related to value creation within the digital economy. At the same time, some countries, such as India, have adopted unilateral measures for safeguarding their tax bases until an international agreement is reached. India has shown its proactive nature in this issue, by bringing the idea of Significant Economic Presence (SEP) via the Finance Act, 2018, making way for business connection in terms of the Income-tax Act. In contrast to the Permanent Establishment approach, which determines the tax jurisdiction by virtue of physical presence, SEP determines the tax jurisdiction by virtue of continuous economic presence in the Indian market. It has been a substantial jurisprudence change from the territorial nexus to economic nexus, since the digital businesses create value through continuous user engagement and data usage.

Therefore, the development of digital nexus is indicative of the shift in international taxation from the regime where it was all about tangible operations to one where it recognizes the significance of economic substance and digital activities. However, despite this shift, the issue of SEP still poses many challenges to the treaties and legal certainty among others, making it one of the major developments in modern tax law.

6.2. Definition and Legal Framework of Significant Economic Presence (SEP)

Significant Economic Presence (SEP) is a revolutionary step for India's regime of international taxation, as it completely changes the grounds of the liability of a non-resident company for taxation purposes. It differs from the traditional Permanent Establishment (PE) concept in the way that PE assumes that tax liability is determined by tangible presence of an enterprise in the country while SEP defines the tax nexus as a result of economic involvement in the Indian economy. The statutory basis of SEP was laid down through the Finance Act, 2018, whereby the definition of 'business connection' contained in Section 9 of the Income-tax Act was amended. The purpose of this provision is to broaden India's source-based taxing jurisdiction by treating a non-resident as having a business connection when the non-resident has a substantial economic presence in India through any transaction or systematic and continuous interactions with users located in India. Thereafter, the regime of SEP has been evolved through amendments in legislation and delegated legislation providing thresholds for application of SEP. The legal regime of SEP revolves around two different alternative tests of nexus. One such test involves a transaction with persons in India in relation to goods, services, or property where the total payments exceed the prescribed threshold. Another such test includes systematic and continuous solicitation of business activity or interaction with users located in India through digital methods exceeding the prescribed user threshold. The adoption of SEP is an illustration of India's readiness to deal with the problems caused by the processes of digitalization and Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS). At the same time, it is an element of a broader trend aimed at making sure that multinational companies pay a proper amount of taxes in jurisdictions that benefit from them economically. However, the implementation of SEP is fraught with many limitations, especially in cases where the corresponding DTAA still operates according to the rules established by the Permanent Establishment principle. Therefore, as long as the terms of the treaties have not been altered through negotiations, SEP will not grant any independent taxing right under treaties. Jurisprudentially, the principle of SEP is a revolutionary step in international tax law that acknowledges economic substance, digital interaction, and market presence as adequate bases of taxation. While the SEP framework helps India to reinforce its taxing jurisdiction on the source basis, its future efficiency will be determined by international cooperation, treaty modifications, and judicial interpretation of the SEP concept. Hence, the SEP framework represents one of the biggest legislative changes made to the income tax law of India recently.

6.3. Significant Economic Presence and Changing the Character of International Tax Jurisdiction

The advent of SEP has drastically changed the meaning of international tax jurisdiction by putting an end to the dependence on physical presence as a sole criterion for taxing the profits of enterprises. According to the PE doctrine used in the framework of international tax law, the non-resident enterprise had to have a fixed place of business before the source State was entitled to impose tax. Even though it guaranteed predictability for decades, the PE doctrine becomes insufficient in light of the development of business models involving digital platforms without any physical structure. SEP implies adopting a new nexus criterion in accordance with which economic participation can serve as a sufficient connection to tax. The notion represents a belief that the value is generated in the digital economy neither by physical assets nor by physical presence, but by user activity, digital business, data gathering, online advertising, cloud computing, and the use of intangible property. Thus, the jurisdictions where such economic value is produced have the right to tax a portion of the business income earned there. The development of SEP also represents a gradual process of moving from the conventional residence-based tax system towards the more balanced distribution of taxing rights between the resident jurisdiction and the source jurisdiction. It is important especially in market economies like India, where multinational digital companies generate significant revenues even without having any form of permanent establishment in the country. In recognizing the continuous economic activity as the grounds of the taxation, the SEP aims at promoting the principles of tax justice to avoid revenue loss due to business arrangements. Despite all the positive purposes that it has, the SEP system also faces quite a lot of legal and practical difficulties. First of all, most of the existing Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement treaties rely on the Permanent Establishment definition and do not include the Significant Economic Presence as an income-generating nexus. It means that, in case of the existence of DTAAs, the national rules concerning SEP can be of little use. Second of all, there are many other problems connected with profit attribution, thresholds, compliance, etc. From the viewpoint of jurisprudence, SEP can be described as an essential advancement in international tax law in the sense that it changes the conventional nexus between economic activity and tax jurisdiction. It recognizes that the digital economy needs a new legal regime that will take into account modern business forms without violating the principles of equity, neutrality, and legal certainty. Thus, Significant Economic Presence plays a major role in India's plans to modernize the country's international tax system and bring domestic legislation in line with the realities of the digitalized global economy.

6.4. Judicial and International Aspects of Significant Economic Presence (SEP)

Significant Economic Presence (SEP) is seen as one of the most controversial developments in international tax law since it is a direct result of the global trend to shift from traditional source-based taxation to taxation of economic participation. Though the idea of SEP is fairly recent, it is now a legally-established principle which was developed due to various international initiatives, court decisions regarding tax nexus, and legislation in India. In the context of international policy, it is important to mention the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) with respect to the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Action Plan 1. In particular, the OECD recognized that traditional regulations on Permanent Establishment (PE) were not sufficient for the taxation of highly digitalized business models. This was due to the fact that large multinational companies could earn significant revenues in certain jurisdictions without having physical presence there, which created an opportunity to shift profits and erode the tax base. As a result, these observations triggered further work on OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework Comprising Pillar One and Pillar Two. India has been taking a proactive attitude with regard to digital taxation. In particular, before reaching an international consensus, the country introduced the Equalization Levy and later introduced Significant Economic Presence (SEP) under the Income-tax Act for expanding the concept of business connection. In terms of judicial approach, the courts in India have always taken a conservative approach in adhering to the requirement of taxing only those transactions which are sanctioned under law. While the courts have not issued elaborate rulings on SEP so far, the previous rulings related to business connections, Permanent Establishment (PE), and source taxation still offer important guidelines in understanding the scope of international taxation. SEP despite being an innovative concept still faces many legal difficulties in its implementation. First of all, the existing DTAAs do not change the conventional requirement of PE in their articles. Thus, the application of the domestic law on SEP is restricted as long as there are no corresponding changes made in the treaties. There are also some issues of profit attribution and other problems that need international cooperation. Consequently, the legal development of Significant Economic Presence (SEP) represents a continuous change in the international tax regime. Although SEP enhances the capacity of market countries to impose taxes on digital companies, the effectiveness of SEP will ultimately be determined by the interpretation of this concept by courts, changes to tax treaties, and international collaboration to create a stable digital tax regime.

6.5. Challenges and Legal Issues in the Application of Significant Economic Presence (SEP)

The adoption of Significant Economic Presence (SEP) represents an important legislative development in India’s digital tax regime. Nonetheless, despite its progressive nature, the application of SEP involves various legal, administrative, and international challenges. These challenges bear serious consequences for the tax certainty, interpretation of tax treaties, compliance requirements, and exercise of taxing jurisdiction over foreign-based digital companies. The primary problem is the mismatch that exists between domestic tax law and Double

Taxation Avoidance Agreements (DTAAs). Where the Income-tax Act acknowledges SEP as one of the tests to determine business presence, most tax treaties currently make use of the PE criterion. In this case, the domestic SEP rule will not independently provide taxation rights unless there is a modification or revision of the DTAAs. This creates significant barriers to the actual utility of the SEP concept. Yet another major problem is profiting allocation. While the SEP creates a nexus of taxable business presence, the process of identifying the portion of the worldwide income allocated to the economic activity within India is very difficult. The digital business model depends greatly on intangibles, algorithms, intellectual property, users' data, and decentralized nature, which makes the determination of the taxable profits more complicated. In addition, the introduction of the SEP would present certain issues with regard to legal certainty and taxpayer compliance. Non-residents could find it challenging to ascertain if they fulfill the required criteria for Significant Economic Presence and the extent of their compliance requirements. Statutory uncertainty may result in higher compliance costs, increased tax disputes and litigation, thereby reducing the certainty of the tax system. Moreover, the unilateral introduction of SEP regime could lead to double taxation, where several jurisdictions exercise taxing rights on the same income. Lack of harmonization in the international tax standards could lead to double taxation of the taxpayers, thus lowering investment certainty and discouragement of digital trade across borders. Yet another area of significance involves the administrative capabilities of the tax authorities. Implementation of SEP is likely to require state-of-the-art technology, information exchange between jurisdictions, digital audits, and specialized skills related to analysis of complicated digital business models. If there will be lack of administrative readiness for the task, the enforcement of SEP may be incomplete even though it may have been legislated. In light of all these problems, the successful application of Significant Economic Presence cannot be possible without legislation, but treaty coordination, judicial guidance, internationally recognized profit allocation rules and administrative coordination as well. Solving these problems is necessary for the SEP regime to fulfill its purpose of establishing fair taxation.

6.6. Critical Analysis of the Future of Significant Economic Presence

The establishment of Significant Economic Presence is undoubtedly one of the most innovative innovations within the international tax system in India. It attempts to update the idea of tax nexus from the perspective of economic engagement instead of physical presence, thus being a response to the digital economy's needs. It enhances India's source country taxing rights and is an indicator of the intention of lawmakers to make sure that multinational digital businesses will fairly pay their part to the revenues of the jurisdictions from which they benefit economically. However, despite all the innovativeness of SEP, it still deserves criticism in terms of effectiveness since its operation is limited by the application of the PE principle within almost all DTAA's that exist in India. Thus, domestic SEP-related rules cannot be applied effectively to taxpayers enjoying treaty protection until there are amendments to these treaties. From the jurisprudence point of view, SEP marks the shift from form to substance as the criteria of taxation. It is fully in line with the modern principles of fiscal justice, neutrality, and value creation. However, there remain many open questions about profit allocation, user involvement, taxing right allocation, and evaluation of digital businesses which create complicated legal challenges that need interpretation by courts. The further development of SEP will greatly rely on how the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework develops and implements Pillar One that aims at re-allocating taxing rights over highly digitized multinational companies. It will be necessary for India to harmonize its national legislation with the new international tax rules in order to avoid problems with treaties and double taxation and to ensure legal certainty for the taxpayers. At the same time, the Indian tax authorities will have to upgrade their technical skills, information sharing capacity, and digital audits.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

- India must continue to re-negotiate DTAAs so as to incorporate the principle of Significant Economic Presence and avoid conflicts between the Indian laws and the DTAAs.
- It is essential that the legislature comes up with some statutory rules regarding the allocation of profits on the basis of the SEP principle.
- The CBDT must come up with proper administration guidelines regarding how the SEP can be applied and what the requirements are in relation to this.
- India must make sure that there is increased international cooperation especially through the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework so as to achieve standardization of digital taxation without double taxation.
- Tax authorities must ensure that there is the development of the proper digital infrastructure for use in identifying non-resident digital businesses.
- A specialized procedure for dispute resolution in relation to the issue of digital taxation needs to be developed in order to solve disputes arising in respect of digital taxation on time.
- Regular review of the SEP law in terms of its legislative development is necessary in order to respond adequately to technological developments in respect of digital economy and business.
- More attention needs to be paid to training tax authorities in respect of resolving complicated issues related to digital trade and taxation.

The above recommendations are meant to enhance the efficiency of application of the Significant Economic Presence approach and increase its fairness, legal clarity, and sustainability of digital tax governance.

8. CONCLUSION

The quick growth of the digital economy has brought about considerable changes in traditional tax principles related to international taxation, especially concerning the necessity of physical presence for the creation of tax jurisdiction. In view of the above, the concept of Significant Economic Presence (SEP), as a new nexus principle, was developed in India as an attempt to tax companies based on their sustained economic presence. This paper illustrates that SEP is an evolutionary legislation with an objective to safeguarding the tax base of India and the fair taxation of multinational digital companies doing business in India. The legislation widens the scope of business connection to deal with the problems arising from digitally intensive business models that create considerable economic value without setting up any physical place of business. In this way, the SEP increases the taxing rights of India as a source jurisdiction and brings its tax policy in line with ongoing international discussions on digital taxation. However, there are some legal and practical difficulties that hinder the proper functioning of SEP. First of all, the persistent dependence of most DTAAs on PE concept reduces the applicability of domestic SEP rules. Moreover, the problems of profit allocation, compatibility with treaties, certainty in law, feasibility of administration and possibility of double taxation still persist. This study therefore finds that even though SEP can be considered a significant landmark in the development of Indian income tax legislation, the continued success of SEP will hinge on the achievement of consistency between it and international tax laws, specifically the Inclusive Framework under OECD and G20, the reforming of treaties and the creation of consistent provisions relating to digital taxation. It is only by adopting a globalized approach that would allow for the proper taxation of the profits from digital businesses without compromising on legal certainty and double taxation that economic sustainability will be achieved.

Conflict of Interest

Conflict of interest declared none.

Funding

The review presented in the article did not receive any external financial support.

9. REFERENCES

- Income-tax Act, 1961.
- Income-tax Act, 2025.
- Finance Act, 2018.
- Finance Act, 2026.
- Central Board of Direct Taxes. (Relevant Notifications and Circulars).
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2015). Addressing the Tax Challenges of the Digital Economy – BEPS Action 1 Final Report. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2021). Statement on the Two-Pillar Solution to Address the Tax Challenges Arising from the Digitalisation of the Economy. Paris: OECD.
- Klaus Vogel. (Latest ed.). Klaus Vogel on Double Taxation Conventions. Kluwer Law International.
- Brian J. Arnold. International Tax Primer. Kluwer Law International.
- Reuven S. Avi-Yonah. Selected articles on international and digital taxation.
- Relevant Supreme Court of India and High Court judgments on business connection, permanent establishment, and international taxation.